

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.isplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/010,764	12/07/2001	Ting Wang	01057	3002
75	90 09/04/2003			
MARTHA ANN FINNEGAN, ESQ. CABOT CORPORATION 157 CONCORD ROAD			EXAMINER	
			HARLAN, ROBERT D	
BILLERICA, MA 01821			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1713	2
			DATE MAILED: 09/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• F		# 5~7				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/010,764	WANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Robert D. Harlan	1713				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover shelf twith the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	I.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile  2.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile  2.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile  2.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile  2.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile  2.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile  2.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile  2.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile  2.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile  2.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile  2.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile  2.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile  2.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile  2.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile  2.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile  2.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile  2.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile  2.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile  2.136(a). In no event, however, howev	mely filed  ys will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ 1	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r election requirement					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	5.15 priority aridor 00 0.0.0. 33 12					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) D Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/010,764 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-16 and 29-30, drawn to elastomer composite, classified in class 524, subclass 58+.
  - II. Claims 17-20, drawn to an elastomer blend, classified in class 525, subclass 240+.
  - III. Claims 21-28, drawn to a process, classified in class 526, subclass 89+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship.

Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as an adhesive and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is

Application/Control Number: 10/010,764

Art Unit: 1713

nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Page 3

- 3. Inventions III and (I,II) are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as a radical polymerization process.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by

their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. A telephone call was made to attorney Martha Finnegan on 08/27/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must

Application/Control Number: 10/010,764

Art Unit: 1713

be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert D. Harlan whose telephone number is (703) 306-5926. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 10 AM 8 PM.
- 10. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David W. Wu can be reached on (703) 308-2450. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.
- 11. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1495.

Robert D. Harlan Primary Examiner Art Unit 1713 Page 5

rdh